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BACKGROUND

- Only focusing on inclusion processes and human rights ignore the sociological point that all communities need to place limits on what can be included and what must be excluded in order to secure its social structure.

- The constitution of social practice has not yet been adequately explored in educational research when it comes to establishing inclusive learning environments.
RESEARCH OBJECT

- Investigating how teachers and other educators in their professional practice, collaboration, and meaning making contribute to the constitution of social practice in public schools and thereby how teachers’ and other educators’ collaboration have an impact on students’ participation and learning possibilities.
Schools are organized around classroom practice and teachers' practice. Normally, the teacher works alone in the classroom.

If the teacher is worried about a student's learning or wellbeing or worried about the class, the teacher contacts the resource team and asks for help.

The resource team identifies the problem and strategies on meetings with or without teachers participating in the meetings, discussions, and negotiations.

The teacher is expected to implement the new strategies in her practice.
MEETINGS WHERE IN/EXCLUSION IS PROCESSED

- AKT (Behavior, Contact, Well-being) meeting
- Working Meetings
- Danish as 2nd language meeting
- Pre-meeting
- Inclusion Network meeting
- In-house coaching
- Competence Center Meeting
- Coordination Meeting
- Managers Meeting
- LP meeting - Learning Environment & Educational Analysis
- Networking Meeting
- Handing over Meetings
- Staff meeting
- PLF meeting; Professional Learning Communities
- Resource meeting
- Resource Network meeting
- Resource Team Meeting
- Coaching
- Special Meeting Center
- Special Center Team Meeting
- Stop-up meeting
- Interdisciplinary forum
- Extended parenting meeting
- Open advisory meeting
- Class Team Meetings
PROFESSIONALS WORKING WITH IN/EXCLUSION PROCESSES

- Resource Center Coordinator
- Resource Center Coordinator
- PPR psychologist
- PPR consultant inclusion
- Consultant inclusion Adviser
- Health visitor
- Preventive counselor
- Speech-hearing teacher
- Psychomotor trainer
- UUV tutor
- Reading Supervisor
- Mathematics Supervisor
- School and club teacher
- Inclusion Educator
- Support Educator
- Special Educator
- Mentor for children
- Supervisor
- ACT-teacher
- ALT-coordinator
- Resource Center Coordinator
DATA

- **Observations, field notes, interviews**
  - 6 schools – 12 classes
  - One week in every class - video and field notes
  - Meetings – audiorecord and fieldnotes (n72)
  - Focus group interviews - Students (n12), teachers (n12), intern resource persons (n7), extern resource persons (n6)

- Field work, processing and analysing data has been data-driven, inductive and a flip-flop process
FOCUS AND STARTING POINTS

- We identify and analyze public schools as a social practice representing several interrelated sub-practices, which are connected and interdependent, e.g. classroom practice, meeting practice, management practice, etc.

- Social practice:
  - A practice producing and reproducing norms, rules, routines and meaning through social processes, interactions, and relationships which lead to a **specific social order**

- The constitution of a specific social order is a result of taking into account both individuality and collectivity and rights and obligations to participate
FINDINGS

- Three tendencies are identified:
- The connection between practice in classrooms and practice in meetings is in general weak. Knowledge or experiences of what works in the classroom are not taken into account in the collaboration and negotiation on meetings.
- Collaborative negotiations in meeting practices mostly end up with strategies, targeting the student and seldom teachers’ practice in the classroom.
- Decisions on new strategies through collaborative processes are not contributing to develop a more inclusive school.
SUB-PRACTICES

Classroom practice (Video-observations)

Meeting practice (Audio-observations)

Other kinds of collaboration between teachers and other professionals

Filtering

Negotiation

Solutions: (Field-observations)

The relation between inclusion and exclusion:
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Classroom practice (video-observations)
- Noisy class
- Student participates
- Makes nuisance of her self
- Focuses a lot on her peers

Meeting practice (Field-observations)
- Difficult situation at the home
- Disruptive; like a Vulcan
- Difficult culture in the class

Solutions:
- 4 students are pulled-out
- Student gets a tangle twister (Fields-observations)

Other kinds of collaboration between teachers and other professionals

Filtering

Negotiation

The relation between inclusion and exclusion:
Student has inherent problems - Her strengths are not taking into account - pull-out instead of working with the class culture

Cause of concern / description:
Teacher/ressource person

Teacher/ressource person
The relation between inclusion and exclusion:
Student has inherent problems - Her strengths are not taking into account - pull-out instead of working with the class culture
## Positions in the Work with Inclusion

### Contextual / Wider perspective
Inclusion understood as supporting communities

### The narrow and focused perspective
Inclusion understood as identifying differences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>Focus</th>
<th>Collective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary focus on: The student's SEN</td>
<td>Special education</td>
<td>Special needs / diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary focus on: Groups needs</td>
<td>Groupings</td>
<td>Vulnerable and marginalised groups</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Primary focus on: **Individual and community**
- Differentiated teaching
- To adapt the teaching to student needs

Primary focus on: **The Community**
- Developing the practice of community
- Social Cohesion
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Contextual / Wider perspective
Inclusion understood as supporting communities

The narrow and focused perspective
Inclusion understood as identifying differences

Primary focus on:
**Individual and community**
Differentiated teaching
To adapt the teaching to student needs

Primary focus on:
The Community
Developing the practice of community Social Cohesion

Primary focus on:
The student's SEN
Special education
Special needs / diagnosis

Primary focus on:
Groups needs
Groupings
Vulnerable and marginalised groups

Individual  |  Focus  |  Collective
REFERENCES


THANK YOU FOR LISTENING